

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,370	02/28/2002	Bari W. Brown	LEAR 0925 PUS	6558	
34007 73	34007 7590 10/06/2004			EXAMINER	
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. / LEAR CORPORATION 1000 TOWN CENTER TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR			PURVIS	PURVIS, SUE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIELD	, MI 48075-1238		1734		

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/085,370	BROWN, BARI W.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sue A. Purvis	1734			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	uly 2004.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,7,13,15,17 and 18</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-4,6,8-12,14 and 16 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	is/are withdrawn from consideration	on.			
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date,					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8 Apr 02,15 Aug 03</u>.</li> </ol>		te, atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/085,370

Art Unit: 1734

Page 2

# **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 5, 7, 13, 15, 17, and 18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 16 July 2004.
- 2. The traversal is on the grounds that the restriction does not seem to be necessary and that the restriction requirement is burdensome, not only to the office but also to the applicant and the public. This is not found persuasive because the restriction was made because the different inventions have different searches and thus to examine both inventions would require additional work for the examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,370

Art Unit: 1734

4. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-12, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beltramo (GB 2,082,961) in view of van der Kooy (US Patent No. 5,037,690).

Beltramo discloses a method of making a composite vehicle panel including a manufacturing a skin in a vacuum-forming mold (10) and then transferring the skin to a RIM mold (12). A polyurethane mix is injected into the mold and reaction injection molding is used to form the final panel. It is known in the art the polyurethane is an isocyanate and resin mix. Beltramo discloses having a reinforcing layer comprising glass fibers in the form of a mat or cloth. However, Beltramo does not disclose using natural fibers.

van der Kooy discloses using natural fibers as a reinforcement material along with a polyurethane mixture. Furthermore, van der Kooy is drawn to a making a door panel of an automobile. (Col. 1, lines 22-38; Col. 2, lines 8-17.)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use natural fibers in the process of Beltramo in place of the glass fibers because van der Kooy teaches that providing shaped product which is environmentally friendly and can be processed. It is known by the teachings in van der Kooy that the properties of the natural-fiber material and of the plastics material, can lead to material combinations having particular properties.

Regarding claims 2 and 10, van der Kooy discloses using jute or flax. (See Abstract.)

Regarding claims 3 and 11, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to trim the skin in Beltramo in view of van der Kooy before placing the natural fibers and polyurethane mix onto the skin if the skin were too big. Trimming the skin before it is made into a panel is within the purview of the artisan because the skin may be too big for the RIM mold and thus need to be trimmed to fit.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,370

Art Unit: 1734

Regarding claims 4 and 12, Beltramo discloses trimming the final product thus the trimming step occurs after the polymerization.

Regarding claims 6 and 14, Beltramo discloses providing the reinforcing layer in the form of a mat. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the natural fibers in the form of a mat, because that is how Beltramo provides the glass fiber reinforcing layer.

Regarding claims 8 and 16, Beltramo discloses applying the mat then the polyurethane mix.

Regarding claim 9, this process is essentially the same as the process in claim 1, because in claim 1 the isocyanate and resin mixture is also polymerized in the presence of the skin and natural fiber.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Purvis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1236. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher A. Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sue **A**. Purvis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734

SP September 22, 2004